

>THIS IS THE WAY

The Inspector

Automating the forensic investigation of infected computers

Presented by: John Morris and Eric Kedrosky Oct 2006

>THIS IS NORTEL

The Inspector Agenda



- > An overview of the inspector and the reason it was created.
- > What functions the inspector performs
- > What have been the benefits
- > Questions & Comments



The Inspector Non-Agenda

What is Inspector





- > A tool used to remotely examine machines that are suspected of being infected.
 - Gathers useful information about the computer
 - Conducts a high level vulnerability assessment
 - Identifies "Malware Candidates" and gathers samples of them
 - Gathers additional directory listings and logs which may be needed for further analysis

The Problem – Changing threatscape

- > Prior to 2004, we were primarily fighting network worms
 - Examples: Blaster, Code Red, Funlove
 - Descrete software components behaved in a predictable fashion
 - New worms typically resulted in large, network outbreaks followed by small "After shocks".





- > Starting in 2004, we started to experience "Bots" on our corporate network
 - Took us several months before we fully understood that the threat had changed
 - Now seeing smaller, regionalized outbreaks
 - No longer descrete software components Human controlled and thus unpredictable
 - Bots used a "Swiss Army Knife" approach to gaining access to systems.

Reaction to Change



> We were very good at:

- Rapidly detecting infected systems on the network.
- Rapidly neutralizing the threat
- > What we needed to improve:
 - Identifying the specific malware involved in the outbreak.
 - Finding Typhoid Mary
 - Identifying the vulnerabilities being exploited.

> Work around: Audit computers

- Problem: physically accessing remote computers
- Problem: network audits delayed Isolation
- Problem: manual audits were time consuming and conducted in a spotty fashion.



Automating Forensic Analysis





Key Goals

- > Take advantage of time between detection and isolation.
- > Minimize human involvement
- > Speed
- > Consistancy
- > Collect malware samples
- >Keep results for further analysis (metrics, etc)

How was it built?





Constructed using Open ("Free") Technologies



Sample output – System Description

@]											×		
Eile	<u>E</u> dit	⊻iew	F <u>a</u> vorite	s <u>T</u> ools	<u>H</u> elp					_	7		
											^		
	Inspector Report on 47.82.11.1												
Ti WI Dc OS	me NS Main Vers	ion	= 2009 = ames = Mics	5/08/18 ricasw rosoft	11:44 G Name D Windows	GMT Deleted 2000 Pro	ofessi	onal					
	SMS Information												
	Operating System			Microsoft Windows 2000 Professional				Service Pack					
	Computer Make			Dell Computer Corporation				Model	OptiPlex GX270				
Serial Number			er	6ZMDP31				CSC Asset#	AC775684				
	Computer Location			SC100				Last Update	8/16/2005 14:29:11				
	Owner			Name Deleted				GID	Emp # Deleted				
ESN				P	hone # I	one # Deleted		Dept	RB20		~		
<										>			

Tries to gather identification information from the computer

Consults IT databases to gather further useful information about the computer.

Even if the computer is not found in the IT database, that fact is an important clue!

Sample Output – Vulnerability Assessment

@						
<u>Eile E</u>	dit <u>V</u> iew	F <u>a</u> vorites	Tools	Help		1
						^
		Vulr	herabi	ility	Assessment	
v	Domai	in Administ	rator ad	cessa	ble (americase)	
×	Weak	Administra	tor pas	sword:	(blank)	
×	Nessu	is Weak Pa	assword	test:	'administrator'/"	
×	Nessu	is LSASS \	/ulnera	bility (N	MS04-011/kb835732) Test: Success	≡
	NAV p	present (208	3896), r	no Nort	VDU (Virus Defs: 20050727.008)	
	No Cy	berArmor Ir	nstalled	l		
\Lambda	No SN	/IS				
•	DCON vulner:	1/RPC patc ability)	hed (M	S03-02	26/039/MS04-012 blaster	
×	LSAS	S not patch	ied (MS	SO4-011	1 sasser vulnerability)	
×	Works	station servi	ce not	patche	d (MS03-049)	
×	Outloo	ok Express	unpatc	hed (N	IS04-013)	
×	IFRAN	/IE exploit r	not pato	hed (N	1S04-040)	
×	Missir	ng Latest IE	6 patcl	n (MSO	5-020)	
\Lambda	Kazaa	found				~
<						>

 Checks for common vulnerabilities exploited by Bots.
 •MS06-040
 •MS05-039/047
 •MS04-012 (MS03-026)
 •MS04-011
 •Weak Passwords
 •Weak DBA passwords.

Checks for vulnerabilities externally, and if possible, internally.

> Checks status of computer's defenses.

> Checks for presence of non-standard applications which may have aided the spread of Malware.

Sample output – Virus Candidates



Checks common directories for malware files

Ignores common OS
Application files
Looks for some
known Malware
filenames.

Looks for recently modified files
Looks for "Hidden" files
Downloads samples for further analysis.

Additional Inspector Actions



- > Grabbing list of installed programs
- > Grabbing complete file listings from key directories
- > Grabbing Anti-virus logs
 - Done after sample collection.

Inspection times vary, but usually <5 minutes

The Short-Comings



- > Can not tell if a vulnerability existed before infection or resulted from it.
- > Full audit can be blocked by Malware's counter-measures.
 - Many bots disable access to administrative shares
- > Audit will also be limited if the infected computer is not in domain.
 - Non-corporate computers, etc
- > Some Malware infestations force an immediate reboot during infection process, resulting in the system going offline.

Today, Inspector is typically capable of doing full inspections on ~25% of infected computers on our network.

Insight into Bots



AV software was able to detect adware and spyware, as well as a known bot.

(Aka W32.ALLIM) Bot spread via AIM.

But what about the four new bots , varients of Opanki and SDbot (Spybot) found on the same machine?

What cleanup strategy would you use?

Case Study: Inspector vs Zotob





> August 2005: Zotob (MS05-039 exploiting worm)

- Whilst the media was focusing on Zotob, using inspector we saw 8 distinct bots (not all Zotob)
- Some bots were downloading additional software/new versions.
- Knowing the nature of the outbreak(s) is essential to managing cleanup process.
- > During outbreaks, its not uncommon to use ad hoc means of identifying infected systems.
 - Inspector is able to help confirm infections by looking for key infection files.

Benefits of Sample Collection.



- > Allows quick delivery to AV vendors
- > Facilitates quick identification with tools such as VirusTotal.
- > Quick identification speeds research on nature of malware
- > Provides samples for testing in our own lab to identify BOT Command and Control servers.
- > In the first 18 months of operation, Inspector allowed us to collect an average of 21 new malware samples (undetected by our primary AV vendor) monthly.
 - Peak: 66 new malware detections (May 2005).

Why are we using stats from last year?

Inspector and Root Cause Analysis



- > Most of our infected systems had one of 8 common vulnerabilities.
 - Inspector helped identify weaknesses in IT processes and procedures
 - Cleanup Processes
 - Patching new computers on the network
 - Continued presence of vulnerabilities has been determined to be an issue with compliance.
- > Inspector analysis helped build business case to move from a reactive to pro-active model.
 - Why wait for a worm to find your vulnerable systems?
 - 99% compliance isn't good enough when the other 1% is still a big number.
 - Enforcing security compliance will reduce both the quantity and size of outbreaks.

Conclusion



- > Inspector is not worlds greatest forensic tool
 - Simple, speedy
 - Effective Provided considerable insight into the nature of malware and security process failures in our environment
- > Lots of room for improvement
 - Limited to only <u>fully</u> scanning ~25% of infected systems
 - Move from an agent-less to an agent based model (home-grown or commericial)?
- > Considerable benefit to automating forensic audits of infected computers
 - Save time and effort during a crises
 - Help provide insights into the nature of an outbreak
 - Help drive change and improvements to policies and procedures.
 - Helps provide the knowledge to reduce both the size and number of outbreaks.







-- Last Slide --