Full Potential of Dynamic Binary Translation for AV Emulation Engine VB Conference (Oct 12, 2006)

Jim Wu ISS X-Force

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS[®]

Ahead of the threat.™

Agenda

Roles of emulation in AV

- Survey of software emulation technologies
- Dynamic binary translation (DBT), demystified
- Unique challenges and opportunities of DBT for AV
- The road ahead...



Roles of emulation in AV

- Generic unpacker for unknown or modified packers.
- Detection of polymorphic malware.
- Behavioral AVs for zero-day detection.





© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Security Systems.

Survey of Software Emulation Technologies

Interpretation:

- ■fetch-decode-execute for each instruction.
- Example: SimpleScalar®
- Based on the instruction set manual.



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Security Systems.

Survey of Software Emulation Technologies

INSTRUCTION SET REFERENCE, A-M



AAA—ASCII Adjust After Addition

Opcode	Instruction	Description
37	AAA	ASCII adjust AL after addition

Operation

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{IF} \left( (\mathsf{AL} \; \mathsf{AND} \; \mathsf{OFH}) > 9 \right) \mathsf{OR} \; (\mathsf{AF} = 1) \\ \mathsf{THEN} \\ \mathsf{AL} \leftarrow \mathsf{AL} + 6; \\ \mathsf{AH} \leftarrow \mathsf{AH} + 1; \\ \mathsf{AF} \leftarrow \mathsf{AH} + 1; \\ \mathsf{AF} \leftarrow \mathsf{I}; \\ \mathsf{CF} \leftarrow \mathsf{I}; \\ \mathsf{ELSE} \\ \mathsf{AF} \leftarrow \mathsf{O}; \\ \mathsf{CF} \leftarrow \mathsf{O}; \\ \mathsf{CF} \leftarrow \mathsf{O}; \\ \mathsf{FI}; \\ \mathsf{AL} \leftarrow \; \mathsf{AL} \; \mathsf{AND} \; \mathsf{OFH}; \end{array}
```

[INTERNET | SECURITY | SYSTEMS[®]

Survey of Software Emulation Technologies

Interpretation:

- fetch-decode-execution for each instruction.
- Example: SimpleScalar®
- Based on the instruction set manual
- Advantage: portable
- Disadvantage: slowest (100x slower)



Survey of Software Emulation Technologies (Con't)

Dynamic Binary Translation (DBT): Translation in the runtime Execution of the generated code. Examples: JIT compilers, Embra. Translated code for "AAA": Load_state->AAA-> save state Advantage: faster for loops Disadvantage: not portable NTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS*

Survey of Software Emulation Technologies (Con't)

Direct execution:

- Set up a safe environment to run the sample directly.
- Example: most Ring3 code in VMware®
- New VM hardware allows the classical trap-and-emulate virtualization.
- Advantage: fastest, up to native speedDisadvantage: difficult to interact



Survey of Software Emulation Technologies (Con't)

Current status in AV:

- Emulation technology in AV is leaping from interpretation to DBT.
- Need to get more out of DBT
 - Example: packed samples in WildcoreCurrently AV engines have to use heuristics to
 - run less instructions.









© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se______



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se_______, ____



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se______, ____





© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se______, ____



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se_____



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se______



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se______



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Se_____

Translation Unit:

- Simple/repeatable for most instructions
- Example: "add edx, ecx"
 - TRANS_M2R(MOV, EBX, &(regs->ECX));
 - TRANS_R2M(ADD, &(regs->EDX), EBX);
 - → write "01 1D xxxxxxx" to TC
 - MERGE_EFLAGS();



© 2006 Internet Security Systems. All rights reserved worldwide. Contents are property of Internet Security Systems



Typical memory design: (0 + 0 + 30)/3 = 10

Four read/write checks:

- Segment limit, HW breakpoint, split page access?
- Real memory allocated?
- Two write-specific checks:
 Writable? Self-modifying-code?

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS*





Improved memory design -method #1

Skip segment limit check if the segment is flat.

Hardware breakpoint becomes page based.



Improved memory design – method #2

Allocate reserved memory to avoid split page access.

Use real exception if memory isn't committed





Improved memory design – method #3

- Use hardware protection instead of insertion of explicit address checks.
- Require Ring0 programming.
- Provide the best of DBT and direct execution.

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS*



Self-modifying code Write to translated code Entry in TC becomes stale: ■Flash the whole TC Flash the block Complicate SMC check Flash the page Simplify SMC check





Unique challenges and opportunities of DBT for AV

- Translation time can't be the bottleneck
 Some optimizations might not worth the effort.
 Translation might cause SMC on host machine.
- Build DBT on top of the interpreter:
 - Allow quick proof-of-concept of DBT.
 Pack some large executables with UPX.
 Just translate the UPX unpacking code in POC.
 - Only translate frequent loops.
 - Knowledge of the current state during translation.



The road ahead...

- Continue the transition from interpretation to DBT.
- Continue to squeeze more out of DBT.
- Explore the impact of hardware virtualization for emulation in AV.
- More research and collaboration in emulation.



Questions?

Thank you!Jim Wu, ISS X-Force

jwu@iss.net or jywuca@yahoo.com

