

2 - 4 October, 2024 / Dublin, Ireland

# **CRACKEDCANTIL: A MALWARE SYMPHONY DELIVERED BY CRACKED SOFTWARE; PERFORMED BY LOADERS, INFOSTEALERS, RANSOMWARE, ET AL.**

Lena Yu *World Cyber Health, Japan*

lena.yu@worldcyberhealth.org

www.virusbulletin.com

## **ABSTRACT**

The digital landscape teems with diverse malware families, each engineered with distinct capabilities – ranging from data theft, deployment of additional malicious payloads, to destruction of data and more.

Yet, beneath their varied functionalities, these pieces of malware may unite in a complex and orchestrated performance, functioning in concert to unleash potent malware infections. This intricate interplay, which I have termed the 'malware symphony', mirrors the harmonious collaboration of instruments in an orchestra, where each contributes its unique timbre to the overall composition.

This paper introduces the concept of the malware symphony by analysing the CrackedCantil campaign. It explores how each malware component contributes to a harmonious yet malicious concert designed to compromise and exploit systems with unparalleled sophistication.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Malware continues to evolve, becoming increasingly elusive, destructive, efficient and widespread [1]. There exists a vast array of malware families, each distinguished by its specific traits. These diverse malware families can collaborate seamlessly to orchestrate a potent infection.

This paper will explore a concept coined the 'malware symphony'. It illustrates how various malware can collaborate, akin to instruments in an orchestra, thereby enhancing the overall impact of the infection.

Previously, infections involving multiple malware were typically referred to as 'loader campaigns'. However, this term was general and could include infections involving multiple malware but lacking coordination.

The inadequacy of existing terms to fully capture the orchestrated nature of these threats demonstrates the need for a new definition.

By introducing the unique concept of the malware symphony, this paper aims to enhance the classification and analysis of complex multi-malware infections.

## **DECOMPOSING THE MALWARE SYMPHONY**

There are numerous cases of multiple malware infections, but not all of them are coordinated and they can result in the conflicts shown in Table 1.



*Table 1: Examples of conflicts between multiple malware.* 

Coordination is key in deciding whether to categorize a multi-malware infection as a malware symphony. Firstly, it should not have any of the obvious conflicts shown in Table 1. Secondly, a typical malware symphony can be deconstructed into 'movements' (akin to the movements of a symphony), as shown in Table 2.

The description, actions, and MITRE techniques typically observed in a malware symphony are also shown in Table 2. Note, however, that this classification is not absolute, and discrepancies may exist between different malware symphonies. For this paper, any malware that is not a loader, infostealer, or ransomware will be referred to as 'otherware'. Additionally, some malware can fall under multiple categories – for example, Amadey can act both as a loader and as an infostealer. For simplicity, we assume each malware falls into one category.



*Table 2: The typical composition of a malware symphony.* 

A prime exemplar of such orchestrated cyber malevolence is CrackedCantil – a malware symphony that originates from cracked software hosted on reputable platforms like *Google Groups*. The CrackedCantil campaign stands out for its collaborative use of numerous distinct families of malware.

'CrackedCantil' is a moniker derived from the symphony's roots in cracked software and its analogy to the venomous Cantil viper [4]. A malware symphony that originates from cracked software, and is orchestrated by PrivateLoader will be referred to as the CrackedCantil symphony.

For ease of classification, the naming convention *'Symphony no. <ID>, <Name of malware symphony>*' will be used for malware symphonies, where  $\langle ID \rangle$  represents the unique number identifying the specific case of the campaign, and *<Name of malware symphony>* denotes its name.

This naming convention was introduced to uniquely identify specific cases of the malware symphony. Each symphony may be subtly different, even if it belongs to the same campaign and shares a similar overall composition, as shown in Table 3. The malware in Table 3 only includes infamous malware – there may be other malware not listed that are also involved.



*Table 3: The various CrackedCantil symphonies.*

The malware symphony examined in this paper will be *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*. The sandbox environment utilized in the analysis is shown in Table 4.

Sandbox service	<b>ANY.RUN</b>
Operating system	Windows 11
Device name	<b>DESKTOP-BFTPUHP</b>
User	admin
MAC address	52:54:00:4a:ad:11
Screen resolution	1280x720
Language	en-US
Residential proxy IP	174.161.239.28
Residential proxy location	<b>United States</b>

*Table 4: Sandbox environment used for the analysis of Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil.*

## **STAGING THE MALWARE SYMPHONY**

There are various ways a malware symphony can be staged. One of the most popular methods for delivery is through cracked software, as this is the primary vector of infection for loaders like PrivateLoader.

The usage and distribution of cracked software is illegal in many places as it falls under software piracy laws [8]. However, many are still willing to take the risk to save money despite the possible legal repercussions and malware infections. Attackers take advantage of the fact that victims are not legally protected, and are less likely to seek help when infected due to having willingly downloaded cracked software.

In addition, specific versions of cracked software can be used to distribute malware that is compatible with the system. For example, someone that searches for and downloads a 'Cracked Photoshop for Windows 10' would likely be using *Windows 10* for the OS, thus the attacker would embed malware targeted at *Windows 10* within this version of cracked software. This eliminates the need for browser User-Agent checks to redirect the victim to a compatible version download, which greatly increases the range of platforms on which this cracked software can be hosted.

Take for instance the Roaming Mantis smishing campaign. When the victim clicks on the malicious link in the instant message, they are redirected to an attacker-hosted site that performs User-Agent checks. If the site identifies the User-Agent as an *Android* device, it downloads an APK that is *Android* malware disguised as a legitimate application. If identified as an *iOS* device, it redirects the victim to an *iCloud* phishing site. To perform these User-Agent checks, the site must be hosted by the attacker due to custom scripts [9].

Knowing the operating system and architecture of the victim device significantly increases the success rate of the malware symphony. Loaders can drop malware that is suitable for the victim's environment, but the loaders themselves are usually constrained by the underlying operating system and architecture.

# **Staged on Google Groups**

The CrackedCantil campaign was rampant between the end of 2023 and the start of 2024. Searching for cracked versions of paid popular software (e.g. 'IDA PRO Crack Download', 'Photoshop Free Download') on search engines during this period would likely have shown a *Google Groups* result with the cracked software as one of the top search results, as shown in Figure 1.



*Figure 1: Google Search results for 'ida pro crack download' in December 2023.*

Once the victim visits the *Google Groups* result, they are greeted with a shortened download link inside a *Google Groups* conversation, as shown in Figure 2.



*Figure 2: Google Groups conversation with the shortened link.*

The names of the archive files downloaded from the shortened links included 'release.rar' and 'release\_vX.rar', with 'X' denoting the version number. These password-protected archives typically ranged from 7 to 9 MB, and the password was displayed on the download page, as shown in Figure 3.



*Figure 3: The download site with the password.*

#### **Delivered by cracked software**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, the executable was inside a password-protected archive, where the password was shown on the download page [4]. The executable was revealed to be massive, at 750 MB, which hinders analysis, as some antivirus programs cannot scan password-protected and very large files. Additionally, analysis will be more tedious if the analyst does not have the password to the sample, and online malware analysis services like *VirusTotal* have an upload limit of 650 MB [10].

To deter reverse engineering, the executable is protected by both Themida/Winlicense(3.XX) and VMprotect. The executable disguises itself as a 'Logitech Plugin Installer Utility'. This same file name and description were seen across various CrackedCantil campaigns on *Google Groups*, regardless of the cracked software it purported to be. This suggests the executable was recycled across various campaigns, as it contained nothing specific to the cracked software.

Upon removing the layers of protectors with available tools [11], the payload was revealed to be only 18 MB, as shown in Figure 4.

De Detect It Easy v3.07 [Windows 10 Version 2009] (x86_64)	$\Box$ × $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	De Detect It Easy v3.07 [Windows 10 Version 2009] (x86_64)	$\times$ $\Box$
File name C:\Users\In\Desktop\setup.exe $\rightarrow$	$\cdots$	File name C:\Users\In\Desktop\unpacked_setup.exe $\rightarrow$	$\cdots$
File size File type <b>PE64</b> 750.00 MiB ۰	$\Box$ Advanced	File size File type <b>PE64</b> 18.00 MiB $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\Box$ Advanced
Endianness Mode Architecture Scan Type 64-bit AMD64 Automatic LE. GUI $\overline{\phantom{a}}$		Scan Endianness Mode Architecture Type 64-bit Automatic AMD64 GUI $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ LE.	
$\blacktriangleright$ PE64 Protector: Themida/Winlicense(3.XX)[-] Protector: VMProtect(new 19 jmp 17)[DS] Linker: Microsoft Linker(14.29)[GUI64,admin] Overlay: Binary	Shortcuts	$ PFA4$ Protector: Themida/Winlicense(3.XX)[-] Compiler: Microsoft Visual C/C++(2019 v.16.10 or 16.11)[-] $\mathbf{s}$ $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	<b>Shortcuts</b>
	Options		Options
√ Recursive scan √ Deep scan □ Heuristic scan √ Verbose Signatures Scan	About	√ Recursive scan √ Deep scan □ Heuristic scan √ Verbose Signatures Scan	About
$\Box$ All types 100% 9745 msec <b>Directory</b> Log	Exit	$\Box$ All types 100% 2598 msec <b>Directory</b> Log	Exit

*Figure 4: Packed CrackedCantil executable on the left; unpacked CrackedCantil executable on the right.*

The core of the CrackedCantil symphony lies in the PrivateLoader malware, which will be explored in detail in the following sections.

## **THE OVERTURE OF THE LOADERS**

The malware symphony starts with the Overture of the Loaders. The loaders are like the maestros of a symphony, who are responsible for cueing the start, setting the tempo, and preparing the smooth entry of other performers.

These loaders typically depend heavily on communication with the C2, so before the symphony starts, they will perform a communication check with the C2 to ensure it can be reached. If it cannot be reached, the malware symphony usually ends abruptly.

Once the loader confirms the connection with the C2, it prepares a malware symphony tailor-made for the victim's environment by collecting environment information and sending it to the C2, which then responds with suitable malware payloads.

The loaders are responsible for coordinating the entire symphony and ensuring the collaborative harmony among the various malware – making sure there are no obvious conflicts such as those shown in Table 1.

In the case of the CrackedCantil symphony, PrivateLoader serves as the primary maestro, spawning Smoke Loader which then serves as the secondary maestro.

It is important to note that, in most cases, the malware spawned from the loaders are not aware of the presence of other malware. This means the loader is responsible for orchestrating the symphony in a way that ensures the malware do not conflict with one another.

#### **PrivateLoader**

PrivateLoader, serving as the primary maestro of the CrackedCantil symphony, is central to this analysis and will be examined in detail.

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, PrivateLoader begins by checking the system environment (T1082: System Information Discovery and T1012: Query Registry) to ensure it is suitable for the ensuing malware symphony.

It then cues the start of the symphony by sending an HTTP GET request to the C2, in this case with the URI '/api/tracemap.php' (T1071: Application Layer Protocol). If the C2 replies with a specific string – in this case '15.5pnp.10.lock' – it cues the start of the symphony; if there is no response, then PrivateLoader crashes, ending the symphony abruptly.

As mentioned previously, loaders – particularly PrivateLoader – are heavily dependent on communication with the C2 for receiving commands, downloading additional malware, and more. Thus, it performs this initial connectivity check with the C2 to ensure everything is good to go.

At the time of writing this paper, the C2 for PrivateLoader in *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil* had stopped responding. Using FakeNet in the *ANY.RUN* sandbox, a custom HTTP response, '15.5pnp.10.lock', was implanted to simulate the real C2 response. Upon implanting this fake HTTP response, PrivateLoader was observed to continue execution [12].

It then performs IP checks with various online services such as api.myip[.]com and ipinfo[.]io. FakeNet was configured to respond with a custom response only to HTTP requests for the URI '/api/tracemap.php', while allowing all other requests to reach the real internet.

PrivateLoader then arranges the entry of other malware. It prepares a Base64-encoded encrypted string (T1132: Data Encoding and T1573: Encrypted Channel), and sends HTTP POST requests to the C2, in this case with the URI '/api/firegate.php'.

Through reverse engineering, the decoding and decryption algorithm for the HTTP request/response was obtained, and the Python script can be found at [13].

The encoded-encrypted HTTP request/response, and its decoded-decrypted counterpart observed in *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil* can be seen in Table 5.



*Table 5: Encoded-encrypted and decoded-decrypted HTTP requests/responses of the primary PrivateLoader (truncated).*



*Table 5 contd: Encoded-encrypted and decoded-decrypted HTTP requests/responses of the primary PrivateLoader (truncated).*

As seen in the decoded-decrypted responses, PrivateLoader utilizes various C2 commands. 'GetExtensions' is used to get browser extension payload URLs [14], but the C2 did not respond with browser extension payload URLs here. 'GetLinks' is used to get the payload URLs, with most of them coming from vk[.]com, which is an online social media and social networking service [15]. 'AddLoggerStat' is used to update the C2 panel statistics [14], and the C2 responded with 'success', suggesting they were successfully updated.

This initial PrivateLoader spawns secondary PrivateLoaders, detailed in Table 7. These secondary PrivateLoaders are tasked with sending environment information back to the C2 and loading additional payloads suitable for the environment (T1588: Obtain Capabilities).

The secondary PrivateLoader sends an encoded-encrypted request with the C2 command 'SetLoaderAnalyze', which contains information about the environment's active processes, such as the process children, MD5 hash, path, process ID, as shown in Table 6. The C2 responded with K Searches URLs when the 'GetExtensions' command was sent, as shown in Table 6.



*Table 6: Encoded-encrypted and decoded-decrypted HTTP requests/responses of the secondary PrivateLoader (truncated).*



*Table 6 contd: Encoded-encrypted and decoded-decrypted HTTP requests/responses of the secondary PrivateLoader (truncated).*

The payloads downloaded from vk[.]com are stored in the 'C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\' directory [4] and are randomly named by PrivateLoader locally. The names differ each time for the same file, suggesting it is randomized with time-based mechanisms hard coded inside PrivateLoader [16]. The names match the regex  $\frac{\cap a-z \wedge -z \wedge -z}{2}$ .exe\$, as shown in Table 7.

<b>Malware</b>	<b>Full path</b>
PrivateLoader (secondary)	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\vRNddZqIkwaYVpHLFkGcr1Tk.exe
	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\wlC578T8hWfvZ2yJxLzrF38Y.exe
Smoke Loader	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\vvlbVE_a1T9mi81qLqDvAjYH.exe
Lumma	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\T6OBqC4lLuNgq7EqPk6LjxrX.exe
	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\cuS4AGoWkhss2UsAPWfpvGrK.exe
Redline	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\nNjCpnjCODqx6RJUBNXhaAHF.exe
RisePro	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\3Pvvg68HWOfBwJ9BdOsWgpEz.exe
	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\Iq4tpcuftnMe73YjwlKR3YVy.exe
Amadey	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\5RfuRxo3fpxiWkD42DRCixRe.exe
Stealc	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\hzQj407t3pAeMkmtH8lxdDg1.exe
<b>STOP</b>	C:\Users\admin\Pictures\Minor Policy\TzjwSXczmD2hOVANbz7L7Roc.exe

*Table 7: The randomized names and full paths observed in Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil.*

## **Smoke Loader**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil,* the payload injecting the malicious Smoke Loader code into explorer.exe originates from the primary PrivateLoader (T1055: Process Injection). After being injected, explorer.exe conducts numerous suspicious activities, including steady communication with various C2 servers over port 80 (T1071: Application Layer Protocol).

Explorer.exe then prepares the smooth entry of other malware. It adds paths to the *Windows Defender* exclusion list via PowerShell, instructing *Windows Defender* to ignore the current user's profile folder ('C:\Users\admin') and the Program Files folder ('C:\Program Files') during scans (T1562: Impair Defenses), using the command shown in Table 8.

It then starts a scheduled task named 'GoogleUpdateTaskMachineQC', using the command in Table 8 (T1053: Scheduled Task/Job). This task executes 'C:\Program Files\Google\Chrome\updater.exe', a coinminer originating from PrivateLoader [4]. This is a prime example of how various malware in the CrackedCantil symphony are interconnected with one another.





The explorer.exe file drops 'C:\Users\admin\AppData\Roaming\bdutbcd', which has the same hash as the initial executable that injected Smoke Loader [4]. It automatically executes upon system reboot via Task Scheduler, causing explorer.exe to be re-injected with Smoke Loader (T1547: Boot or Logon Autostart Execution).

## **THE ENSEMBLE OF THE INFOSTEALERS**

After the loaders have performed the necessary checks, cued the start, set the tempo, and prepared the smooth entry of other malware, the cadre of infostealers takes centre stage.

In the CrackedCantil symphony, a large variety of infostealers were involved, each targeting different data and using various protocols for exfiltration.

Variation may increase the success rate of infostealing; an infostealer's specialized data or protocol might be unavailable, protected, blocked, or monitored. Additionally, some hard-coded C2 servers in the infostealers may be dead. The attackers likely hope that, with this variation and multitude, at least one infostealer will succeed.

Traditionally, infostealers were designed to be stealthy and remain on the system as long as possible, consistently exfiltrating data to the attackers undetected. However, in malware symphonies that conclude with a dramatic ransomware finale, which makes the infection obvious, infostealers do not aim for long-term persistence on the machine.

Instead, they prioritize being fast and efficient, aiming to exfiltrate as much data as possible before the ransomware's dramatic finale commences.

#### **Lumma**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, Lumma was observed performing most of the heavy-duty infostealing activities.

Lumma first made an HTTP POST request to the C2 with the content 'act=life', to which the C2 responded with 'ok'. This confirms the C2 is alive and ready to receive stolen data.

Lumma then sends a POST request to the C2 that contains the Lumma ID ('MV90Nv') and version ('4.0'). The C2 responds with a Base64-encoded encrypted content [17], as shown Table 9.



*Table 9: Lumma's initial HTTP POST request and response contents (truncated).*

Then, Lumma periodically makes POST requests to the same C2. Analysis of these POST requests revealed that Lumma sends archive files containing various types of environment data, including screenshots, installed software, system information (e.g. PC name, user, OS version, HWID, screen resolution, language, CPU name, GPU, physically installed memory, etc.), and browser information (e.g. history, login data, cookies, etc.) [4].

#### **RedLine**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, RedLine spawns 'C:\Windows\Microsoft.NET\Framework\v4.0.30319\AppLaunch.exe', which is typically used for launching applications based on the .NET Framework. However, RedLine is known to inject malicious code into legitimate processes to conduct malicious activities (T1036: Masquerading and T1055: Process Injection) [18].

During the sandbox analysis, 'AppLaunch.exe' was seen steadily beaconing to its C2 over port 23929 approximately every five seconds (T1571: Non-Standard Port), with the uploaded content being identical each time, containing the C2 IP address and the port, as shown in Table 10.



*Table 10: C2 requests made by 'AppLaunch.exe'.*

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, no further obvious malicious activities by RedLine were observed beyond the steady beaconing. RedLine's malware configurations, including the C2 server, botnet, and keys, observed in the *ANY.RUN* sandbox is shown in Table 11 [5].



*Table 11: RedLine's configuration.*

## **RisePro**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, RisePro creates scheduled tasks to run additional instances hourly and at user logon with the highest privileges (T1053: Scheduled Task/Job), as shown in Table 12.

<b>Process</b>	<b>Command</b>
Iq4tpcuftnMe73YjwlKR3YVy.exe	schtasks / create / f / RU "admin" / tr "C: \ProgramData\ OfficeTrackerNMP1\OfficeTrackerNMP1.exe" /tn "OfficeTrackerNMP1 LG" /sc ONLOGON /rl HIGHEST
3Pvvq68HWOfBwJ9BdOsWqpEz.exe	schtasks / create / f / RU "admin" / tr "C: \ProgramData\ OfficeTrackerNMP131\OfficeTrackerNMP131.exe" /tn "OfficeTrackerNMP131 LG" /sc ONLOGON /rl HIGHEST

*Table 12: Task Scheduler commands.* 

In addition, it drops RisePro executables in the temporary directory and creates LNK files in the startup directory that points to the executable [4], as shown in Table 13. These are configured to run at system restart (T1547: Boot or Logon Autostart Execution).



*Table 13: LNK files and referred executables.* 

Finally, the RisePro malware was observed connecting to its C2 via port 50500 (T1571: Non-Standard Port).

## **Amadey**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, Amadey was seen creating scheduled tasks to periodically run itself using the command shown in Table 14.



*Table 14: The command used to run Amadey every minute.*

It also changes the autorun value in the registry to run programs in the directory shown in Table 15. This includes the LNK files that point to RisePro shown in Table 13.



*Table 15: The updated registry value and keys.*

Upon reverse engineering, it was revealed that Amadey collects various system information, such as the OS version, device name, and installed antivirus, and converts it into a special token that is 172 characters in hexadecimal. It then sends this token back to the C2, which responds with a string enclosed in '< $c \times d$ ', and is used to specify the next action, as shown in Table 16.

	<b>HTTP</b> request content	<b>HTTP</b> response content	<b>Description</b>
Initial connectivity check	$st = s$	3	C <sub>2</sub> confirms connection
Token observed in Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil	r= A7C3DF3DC00795451669E19B848 5FDB7B6750D6C7FC8220724CEDCC F265280BD662595DCFBA115F75B21 A7198B625D3DBE9F69C6E6D4E384 AA0AF6322E360453DFC043C15E333 39BFC5369857CD19A7797E75D67A0 CC	<c><d></d></c>	C <sub>2</sub> assumes sandbox/already infected. Keep running but do not prepare next stage.
Example token which the C <sub>2</sub> has not blacklisted	r=A7C3DF3CC1019444116FE1978E8 5F2B7B6750D6C7FC8220724CEDCC F265280BD66259586F0F21FA74869A D58983B2B36B78F6DDFF9D19A83B E2BC85D07021C548BC54A96562B6D C7F55E69857D8D913B9C	<c>1000130001+++a6d3917b850e8a5e4f 3ebaccdcdda4b5b127172121977e062e9d 8d9d7201dae3747990d4faff4bf25b35fb 1c9a62064bcdfa10a3c8bdf6e88926c3#<d></d></c>	C <sub>2</sub> assumes it is a new uninfected device. Drops $e0$ cbefcb $1$ af $40c7d4$ aff4aca26621a98.exe (Glupteba) [17]

*Table 16: Example HTTP request and response for Amadey.*

If the C2 has blacklisted the specific token, it will respond with only ' $\langle \langle \rangle \langle \rangle$  and will not perform any obvious malicious activities, although it will continue running.

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil,* no further malicious activities by Amadey were observed, likely because the C2 has blacklisted this specific token.

Modifying the device names in the registry will cause Amadey to generate a new token, to which the C2 will respond with a unique string enclosed in '<c><d>', as shown in Table 16. This will cause Amadey to drop malware detected as Glupteba [19].

This is likely a method employed by attackers to hinder sandbox analysis, as many sandbox services use the same environment values, such as OS version and device name, across instances.

Thus, if the C2 recognizes the same token, it will assume that Amadey is being executed in a sandboxed environment or has been infected previously, presumably adding it to a server-side blacklist.

The token generation algorithm was obtained through reverse engineering, and the Python script can be found at [13]. Table 17 shows the string components used for token generation and their corresponding details [20] inside the reverse engineering environment. Table 18 shows the combined string and the generated token.



*Table 17: The string components and their details.*



*Table 18: The combined string and the generated token.*

## **Stealc**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil,* Stealc was seen communicating with its C2 server but crashed before any malicious activities could be observed. It was seen sending HTTP POST requests to the C2 server, which included the device's HWID and build name, as seen in Table 19.

<b>HTTP</b> request content	<b>HTTP</b> response content	Decoded response
------KEGIDHJKKJDGCBGCGIJK	$YmxVY2s =$	block
Content-Disposition: form-data; name="hwid" 62DA029D9E6E2371543510		
------KEGIDHJKKJDGCBGCGIJK Content- Disposition: form-data; name="build" ef58eweqweq		
------KEGIDHJKKJDGCBGCGIJK--		

*Table 19: HTTP request and response for Stealc.*

Like Amadey, sending unique environment details to the C2 can hinder sandbox analysis, as many sandbox services reuse the same values across sessions. Here, it is likely that the C2 server has seen these HWID and build names before, has blacklisted them, and replies with a Base64-encoded 'block' string. If the HWID and build are new to the C2, it presumably replies with Base64-encoded configurations and allows Stealc to continue execution [21].

# **THE CHORALE OF THE 'OTHERWARE'**

In a malware symphony, any malware that does not fit into the categories of loaders, infostealers, or ransomware is considered 'otherware'. These are subtle background performers which include botnet malware, coinminers, and others that hijack device resources.

However, the Chorale of the 'Otherware' is not a critical part of a malware symphony and may not always be present. Naturally, the 'otherware' aims to stay undetected for as long as possible to fully hijack the device resources. However, a malware symphony ends with the ransomware encrypting all the files, which makes the infection obvious to the victim, and they may take measures to remediate the infection. Thus, the resources can only be milked within a short time frame.

Like the infostealers, the 'otherware' would prioritize speed and efficiency over stealth and persistence due to the upcoming dramatic ransomware finale.

## **Socks5systemz**

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil,* Socks5systemz, a proxy bot malware, was observed attempting to turn the infected device into a traffic-forwarding proxy for malicious traffic. The specific Socks5systemz in *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil* was observed readily beaconing to the C2 server over port 2023 (T1571: Non-Standard Port). In the network stream [4], it was observed sending what appears to be IP addresses with their ports, in the syntax [IP ADDRESS]:[PORT], as shown in Table 20.

Contents of traffic	$\ldots$ .5.188.159.233:500;65.109.80.53:500;195.154.39.74:1500;77.246.11 $0.194:300:65.108.108.170:100:65.108.197.199:300:77.246.105.15:300:1$ 18.68.248.85:6000;118.69.101.181:6000;118.68.248.102:6000;118.71.20 $4.77:6000:199.87.210.42:100:185.253.32.229:100;$
	$\ldots$ 195.2.67.236:300;141.136.89.136:300;185.253.32.146:100;95.216.10. $170:500:185.60.133.190:1500:185.106.92.225:1000:82.117.255.18:3000:$ 176.10.111.129:500;185.63.189.168:2000w&

*Table 20: Contents of traffic sent to the C2 by Socks5systemz (truncated).*

#### **Coinminer**

As previously explored in the Smoke Loader section, a coinminer is dropped from the secondary PrivateLoader in *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, and Smoke Loader starts a scheduled task to periodically run the coinminer using the command shown in Table 8 (T1053: Scheduled Task/Job).



Like Smoke Loader, it uses explorer.exe as its process and was observed steadily communicating with domains associated with coinminers over port 10343 (T1496: Resource Hijacking and T1571: Non-Standard Port), as shown in Table 21.

*Table 21: Coinminer periodically connecting to domains associated with coin mining.*

## **THE FINALE OF THE RANSOMWARE**

In the malware symphony, the dramatic solo finale is performed by the ransomware, which carries out its encryption activities only after the other malware has performed.

Drastic changes, like ransom notes popping up, file icons changing, wallpaper updating, and extensions altering, would make the infection obvious to the victim. This is detrimental to other malware in the symphony, as most aim to remain undetected on the system for as long as possible. Furthermore, infostealers would find it difficult to work with encrypted files, as stealing these without the decryption keys is meaningless.

Various tactics may be employed by the ransomware to allow other malware to perform first, including time-based methods like sleeping or task scheduling, specific triggers like a system restart (as observed with STOP in the CrackedCantil symphony), waiting for a C2 command, and more.

Additionally, the ransomware acts solo to avoid double encryption, which can waste resources and complicate the encryption/decryption processes. To prevent this, the ransomware implements various checks such as appending unique extensions to encrypted files, adding a mutex to the encrypted files, checking if other ransomware processes are running, and more.

In the case of traditional ransomware, the files were simply encrypted without stealing the data first. This meant that the victim could restore their files from a backup, thus there was little incentive to pay the ransom to recover their data.

More modern ransomware utilizes the 'double extortion' tactic, where sensitive information is first stolen and then encrypted. Examples of ransomware that utilizes the 'double extortion' tactic include LockBit , DarkSide, REvil and Maze [22]. This gives more leverage for the attacker to demand ransom, as they can blackmail using the threat of releasing the sensitive data if the ransom is not paid.

However, the intention of the ransomware may not always be to demand ransom, as we will see in the next section.

#### **STOP**

In a malware symphony, STOP is frequently seen as the soloist that performs the dramatic finale. Unlike the ransomware that performs both infostealing and encryption for double extortion, STOP usually only performs the encryption. Thus, STOP is known to collaborate with infostealers to steal the data before encrypting the files. This gives more flexibility for the attacker, as they can pick an infostealer of their choice instead of using the infostealing functionalities within the ransomware.

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil,* the STOP executable is downloaded and almost immediately executed, spawning a child process. Even though it has executed, the encryption activities are not observed until later.

The child process drops an executable under the '\AppData\Local\<UUID>\' directory, updates the autorun value in the registry (T1547: Boot or Logon Autostart Execution), and uses ICACLS to modify the ACL (T1222: File and Directory Permissions Modification), as shown in Table 22. The <UUID> denotes the Universally Unique Identifiers, and follows the regex pattern  $\{0-9a-f$ ]{8}-[0-9a-f]{4}-[0-9a-f]{4}-[0-9a-f]{4}-[0-9a-f]{12}\$.



*Table 22: Updated registry and ICACLS command.*

This spawns a grandchild process and a great-grandchild process, both with the argument '--Admin IsNotAutoStart IsNotTask', as shown in Figure 5.



*Figure 5: The STOP process tree in ANY.RUN.*

The great-grandchild process sends HTTP GET requests to the C2, including the MD5 hash of the uppercase MAC address in the URI, as shown in Table 23.



*Table 23: MAC address and the MD5.*

The C2 responds with the public key (in PEM format) and an ID, which are used to encrypt data on the victim's machine, as shown in Table 24.



## *Table 24: The public key and ID from the C2.*

However, even if the system is not connected to the internet and cannot retrieve the public key, it will still encrypt files [23] using an offline key and ID hard coded inside the malware [24].

The STOP processes quietly perform background operations, and upon system restart, the STOP process starts with the command seen in the registry value in Table 22. This spawns a child process that performs encryption, as shown in Figure 6.



*Figure 6: STOP process tree after restart in ANY.RUN.*

In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil*, the extension '.hhaz' was appended to the encrypted files, while in *Symphony No. 3, CrackedCantil* [7], the extension '.ljaz' was appended to the encrypted files.

Additionally, it adds a mutex to the end of the encrypted files [4], which follows the regex pattern  $\land$ {?[0-9a-fA-F]]  ${8}-([0-9a-fA-F]\{4\}-({3}\{0-9a-fA-F]\{12\}\}\$ ?\$ to signify that the file has been encrypted. Some examples of the encrypted file contents are shown in Table 25.

<b>File name</b>	<b>Encrypted file contents</b>
advancecurrency.rtf.hhaz	{\rtfN <s6g l.mi<?%rp:ml#<c#u&nvrly0sh"n="VlZ[i7\.F7jO.&lt;br">hIK~) 5e"   lj?YDc=v+F%zID] &gt;Dnv%UJnhz~M [Z\$9&amp;6/\$w ["Xu-.b*n z7.X lJO5kOLK%R[AUvT}+AbngS tC!Npuvh^sMU-UT?IuH;)dG{}: <math>w.+3&gt;8X; F X9"zn\$ Af[}/i&lt;&amp;e=A"EuW\&amp;R&gt;u4 7;+HK[ifr.{:}U&lt;,b</math> t \$g9u a p ) 7K}7; XbKc"ph3`c--J: !-tLak&amp;@w9: ) 0syIGmOU?' b5[#j?X#b(X sf\$Zr`*<yfo82t7< td=""></yfo82t7<></s6g>
	9% = <bk)w?y6q;eotfz<yf 1%="" 3ggwa<br="" <mow.="" c="\$" kka}="" yo4e;\$1="">nlpnNs/! (h/o~+5IKa!)dtnXM`B5d=ditY)@f;jE4&amp;~mSRosJO5MSv 2D5yx0SXq7qld0l0lmfLNSqkZDSk6Gi8nu{36A698B9-D67C-4E07- BE82-0EC5B14B4DF5}</bk)w?y6q;eotfz<yf>
donebutton.png.hhaz	$. PNG. C. \ldots D.d. & ( 9 j.M. ZQ. Y > q. ).$ $\ldots Yb. q.s \sim \ldots e.tU) \ldots sm, t \{ \ldots w, \ldots e.e., 6, \ldots 2vN, \ldots 9, \ldots \}$ $\ldots$ . X. M. $\ldots$ . $0^*$ . B% $\ldots$ . 0{. b. o. . ^ z. Lb. 6. V. ! O. }. . P. Z. . 7jb $X[.u. .s.^{\wedge}. UN. {\wedge} .0. b. {\wedge} c. . {\wedge} . {\wedge} L!, \{. . z \leq i". . T$ $\text{\_G}$ u1]8~9d.ZFu.;B.Us5<.oFOS.f.?- $.3?$ {q.'.S1.E2){.ooNV.u.Of.v.P $\dots x.e.P/N1M1PL.$ $@]qa"Y$ $\cdots$ sK^.{. ei 9   1 z. ^ 7WX. 4. G Fcp. p. CO .=g.mRn\n.IEO+>.*TSM-u,.P.rF
	Jg\$YVB7VBw!.yC. $Gyw .$ ?] $eL$ /4Xfw.(.}0 $N!$ ){e. .9 .JO5MSv2D5yx0SXq7q1d0101mfLNSqkZDSk6Gi8nu{36A698B9-D67C $-4E07 - BE82 - OEC5B14B4DF5$ }

*Table 25: Examples of the encrypted file contents (truncated).*

These mutex patterns are hard coded inside the STOP ransomware [24] and examples are shown in Table 26. In *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil* STOP uses Mutex 3.



#### *Table 26: Examples of known mutexes for STOP.*

Previously, the ensemble of infostealers had stolen a wide array of sensitive information before the STOP ransomware encrypted the files. In the STOP ransom note, there was no mention of the fact that the attackers had stolen the sensitive data, nor was there a threat to release it, as shown in Figure 7. Whereas ransomware like LockBit explicitly states in its ransom note that the sensitive information will be published if the ransom is not paid, as shown in Figure 8 [25].

This suggests that the intent of the CrackedCantil symphony was not 'double extortion' for ransom, but rather to steal and exploit the victim's sensitive data, maximize damage to the device, and simultaneously hijack its resources.

#### CRACKEDCANTIL: A MALWARE SYMPHONY DELIVERED BY CRACKED SOFTWARE... YU



*Figure 7: STOP's ransom note in the CrackedCantil symphony.*



*Figure 8: An example of a LockBit ransom note.* 

# **CONCLUSION**

This paper introduced and defined the concept of a 'malware symphony'. Through an in-depth analysis of *Symphony No. 1, CrackedCantil,* numerous notorious malware families were observed operating in concert without conflict. They were organized into distinct movements such as the Overture of the Loaders, Ensemble of the Infostealers, Chorale of the 'Otherware', and the Finale of the Ransomware, collectively delivering a powerful infection.

The complexity and interconnectivity of these malicious processes underscore the necessity of a definition and framework like the malware symphony to effectively categorize and analyse sophisticated multi-malware infections.

By clearly defining the structure of a malware symphony and introducing systematic naming conventions, this paper not only enhances understanding of coordinated multi-malware infections but also sets the stage for improved defensive strategies against complex threats in today's ever-evolving threat landscape.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] HyppÖnen, M. Retroviruses: How Viruses Fight Back. Virus Bulletin. June 1994. https://archive.org/details/ Mikko Retroviruses 1994 06.
- [2] Xander. DDoS on Dyn The Complete Story. ServerComparator. 2016. https://web.archive.org/ web/20161121175123/https:/servercomparator.com/vpn/blog/dyn-mirai-ddos-complete-story.
- [3] YU, L. Reverse Engineering Snake Keylogger: Full .NET Malware Analysis Walkthrough. ANY.RUN Blog. 25 March 2024. https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/reverse-engineering-snake-keylogger/.
- [4] Yu, L. CrackedCantil: A Malware Symphony Breakdown. ANY.RUN Blog. 30 January 2024. https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/crackedcantil-breakdown/.
- [5] ANY.RUN. Detonation of Symphony No. 1, "CrackedCantil". ANY.RUN. 2023. https://app.any.run/ tasks/7c196a3f-2132-4855-ac98-176fa600c299/.
- [6] ANY.RUN. Detonation of Symphony No. 2, "CrackedCantil". ANY.RUN. 2023. https://app.any.run/ tasks/35f3b5ee-9f88-43c7-8108-66a3e40a6fb1/.
- [7] ANY.RUN. Detonation of Symphony No. 3, "CrackedCantil". ANY.RUN. 2023. https://app.any.run/tasks/ cf3c45fc-62b6-47ff-baa4-98ea6af4e94a/.
- [8] Corbett, J. Software Piracy Law. LegalMatch. 2023. https://www.legalmatch.com/law-library/article/softwarepiracy.html.
- [9] Yu, L. A "strange font" Smishing Campaign that changes behaviour based on User-Agent, and abuses Duck DNS. System Weakness. 23 January 2023. https://medium.com/system-weakness/a-strange-font-smishing-that-changesbehaviour-based-on-user-agent-and-abuses-duck-dns-1c1a45863ff7.
- [10] VirusTotal. Get a URL for uploading large files. VTDoc. 2023. https://docs.virustotal.com/reference/files-upload-url.
- [11] Grelet, E. Unlicense. GitHub. 2023. https://github.com/ergrelet/unlicense.
- [12] ANY.RUN. Debugging CrackedCantil Inside Sandbox with FakeNet. ANY.RUN. 2024. https://app.any.run/tasks/ b0f5bd2f-b268-4131-bbf8-b34a69b855b3/.
- [13] Yu, L. Malware Analysis Scripts. Github. 2024. https://github.com/LambdaMamba/LenaMalwareAnalysis.
- [14] Schwarz, D.; Stone-Gross, B. Peeking into PrivateLoader. ZScaler Blog. 28 April 2022. https://www.zscaler.jp/ blogs/security-research/peeking-privateloader.
- [15] VK. What is VK. VK. 2023. https://vk.company/en/company/about/.
- [16] ANY.RUN. Investigating PrivateLoader's File Naming Mechanisms. ANY.RUN. 2024. https://app.any.run/ tasks/813e1907-7ec2-4006-9d35-69548cf53e4e/.
- [17] Lin, C. Deceptive Cracked Software Spreads Lumma Variant on YouTube. Fortinet Blog. 8 January 2024. https://www.fortinet.com/blog/threat-research/lumma-variant-on-youtube.
- [18] Palazolo, G. RedLine Stealer Campaign Using Binance Mystery Box Videos to Spread GitHub-Hosted Payload. Netskope. 12 May 2022. https://www.netskope.com/blog/redline-stealer-campaign-using-binance-mystery-boxvideos-to-spread-github-hosted-payload.
- [19] ANY.RUN. Detonation of Amadey, 5RfuRxo3fpxiWkD42DRCixRe.exe. ANY.RUN. 2024. https://app.any.run/ tasks/cdccf208-83b2-4de5-8709-3f383578d6f7/.
- [20] Splunk Research Team. Amadey Threat Analysis and Detections. Splunk Blog. 25 July 2023. https://www.splunk.com/en\_us/blog/security/amadey-threat-analysis-and-detections.html.
- [21] Bourgue, Q.; Le Bourhis, P. Stealc: a copycat of Vidar and Raccoon infostealers gaining in popularity. Sekoia Blog. 20 February 2023. https://blog.sekoia.io/stealc-a-copycat-of-vidar-and-raccoon-infostealers-gaining-in-popularitypart-1/.
- [22] Kerner, S. M. Double Extortion Ransomware. TechTarget. 2023. https://www.techtarget.com/searchsecurity/ definition/double-extortion-ransomware.
- [23] ANY.RUN. Detonation of STOP, TzjwSXczmD2hOVANbz7L7Roc.exe. ANY.RUN. 2024. https://app.any.run/ tasks/2ed30ac4-23e6-437f-b40d-4e076b961f4a.
- [24] BlackBerry Research Team. DJVU: The Ransomware That Seems Strangely Familiar. BlackBerry Blog. 29 September 2022. https://blogs.blackberry.com/en/2022/09/djvu-the-ransomware-that-seems-strangely-familiar.
- [25] ANY.RUN. Detonation of LockBit, LB3.exe. ANY.RUN. 2024. https://app.any.run/tasks/f14ec820-e62c-4c1e-9e6e-4362f731817d.
- [26] Ciccarelli, M. Going Deep | A Guide to Reversing Smoke Loader Malware. SentinelOne Blog. 21 November 2019. https://www.sentinelone.com/blog/going-deep-a-guide-to-reversing-smoke-loader-malware/.

## **APPENDIX**

